

EXPERIMENTAL SIGNATURES OF A LIGHT SINGLET-LIKE SCALAR IN NMSSM

MARCIN BADZIAK^a, MAREK OLECHOWSKI, STEFAN POKORSKI
Institute of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw
ul. Hoża 69, PL-00-681 Warsaw, Poland

NMSSM with a light singlet-like scalar and strongly suppressed couplings to b and τ is investigated. It is shown that in such a scenario the singlet-like scalar to diphoton signal can be larger than for the SM Higgs for a wide range of masses between 60 and 110 GeV, in agreement with all the LEP and LHC data. Enhancement of the singlet-like scalar to diphoton signal is correlated with positive correction to the SM-like Higgs mass from mixing between SM-like Higgs and the singlet. It is also shown that the couplings to b and τ and, in consequence, branching ratios of the SM-like Higgs are anti-correlated with those of the singlet-like scalar. If the singlet-like scalar to diphoton signal is enhanced, the signal strengths of the 125 GeV Higgs in the diphoton and WW^*/ZZ^* channels are predicted to be smaller than for the SM Higgs.

1 Introduction

The measurement of the Higgs mass of about 125 GeV^{1,2} stimulated increased interest in extensions of Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) in which additional positive corrections to the Higgs mass are present allowing for lighter stops relaxing the little hierarchy problem of the MSSM. One of the most attractive and certainly the simplest among those extensions is Next-to-Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (NMSSM). In these proceedings we focus on a case in which additional positive correction to the Higgs mass originates from mixing effects in the CP-even scalar sector of NMSSM. We identify particularly attractive part of parameter space in which the singlet-light scalar is lighter than the discovered Higgs and has suppressed couplings to b and τ . In this part of parameter space more than 5 GeV correction to the MSSM Higgs mass is obtained for wide range of singlet-like scalar masses. Such scenario has phenomenologically distinctive feature which is diphoton signal of the singlet-like scalar stronger than the corresponding signal of the SM Higgs with the same mass. The results presented in these proceedings were originally given in Refs.^{3,4}.

2 CP-even scalar sector in NMSSM

We begin with a brief summary of the CP-even scalar sector of NMSSM⁵. There are three physical neutral CP-even Higgs fields, H_u , H_d , S which are the real parts of the excitations around the real vevs, $v_u \equiv v \sin \beta$, $v_d \equiv v \cos \beta$, v_s with $v^2 = v_u^2 + v_d^2 \approx (174 \text{ GeV})^2$, of the neutral components of doublets H_u , H_d and the singlet S (we use the same notation for the doublets and the singlet as for the real parts of their neutral components). It is more convenient for us to work in the basis $(\hat{h}, \hat{H}, \hat{s})$, where $\hat{h} = H_d \cos \beta + H_u \sin \beta$, $\hat{H} = H_d \sin \beta - H_u \cos \beta$

^aSpeaker

and $\hat{s} = S$. The $\hat{h}$ field has exactly the same couplings to the gauge bosons and fermions as the SM Higgs field. The field $\hat{H}$ does not couple to the gauge bosons and its couplings to the up and down fermions are the SM Higgs ones rescaled by $\tan \beta$ and $-\cot \beta$, respectively. The mass eigenstates are denoted as s, h, H , with the understanding that h is the SM-like Higgs.

The NMSSM specific part of the superpotential is in general given by^a

$$W_{\text{NMSSM}} = \lambda S H_u H_d + f(S). \quad (1)$$

The first term is the source of the effective higgsino mass parameter, $\mu \equiv \lambda v_s$, while the second term parametrizes various versions of NMSSM. In the simplest version, known as the scale-invariant NMSSM, $f(S) \equiv \kappa S^3/3$.

We assume also quite general pattern of soft SUSY breaking terms (we follow the conventions used in⁵):

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{soft}} \supset m_{H_u}^2 |H_u|^2 + m_{H_d}^2 |H_d|^2 + m_S^2 |S|^2 + (A_\lambda \lambda H_u H_d S + \frac{1}{3} \kappa A_\kappa S^3 + m_3^2 H_u H_d + \frac{1}{2} m_S'^2 S^2 + \xi_S S + \text{h.c.}). \quad (2)$$

In the scale-invariant NMSSM, $m_3^2 = m_S'^2 = \xi_S = 0$.

Let us parametrize the mass matrix of the hatted fields as follows:

$$\hat{M}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{M}_{hh}^2 & \hat{M}_{hH}^2 & \hat{M}_{hs}^2 \\ \hat{M}_{hH}^2 & \hat{M}_{HH}^2 & \hat{M}_{Hs}^2 \\ \hat{M}_{hs}^2 & \hat{M}_{Hs}^2 & \hat{M}_{ss}^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

The off-diagonal terms of the above matrix are given by

$$\hat{M}_{hH}^2 = \frac{1}{2} (M_Z^2 - \lambda^2 v^2) \sin 4\beta, \quad (4)$$

$$\hat{M}_{hs}^2 = \lambda v (2\mu - \Lambda \sin 2\beta), \quad (5)$$

$$\hat{M}_{Hs}^2 = \lambda v \Lambda \cos 2\beta, \quad (6)$$

where $\Lambda \equiv A_\lambda + \langle \partial_S^2 f \rangle$.

$\hat{M}_{hh}^2$ after including radiative corrections, which we parametrize by $(\delta m_h^2)^{\text{rad}}$, is given by^b

$$\hat{M}_{hh}^2 = M_Z^2 \cos^2(2\beta) + (\delta m_h^2)^{\text{rad}} + \lambda^2 v^2 \sin^2(2\beta). \quad (7)$$

The first two terms in the above equation are the "MSSM" terms, with

$$(\delta m_h^2)^{\text{rad}} \approx \frac{3g^2 m_t^4}{8\pi^2 m_W^2} \left[\ln \left(\frac{M_{\text{SUSY}}^2}{m_t^2} \right) + \frac{X_t^2}{M_{\text{SUSY}}^2} \left(1 - \frac{X_t^2}{12M_{\text{SUSY}}^2} \right) \right], \quad (8)$$

where $M_{\text{SUSY}} \equiv \sqrt{m_{\tilde{t}_1} m_{\tilde{t}_2}}$ ($m_{\tilde{t}_i}$ are the stop masses) and $X_t \equiv A_t - \mu/\tan \beta$ with A_t being SUSY breaking top trilinear coupling at M_{SUSY} . The third term in eq. (7) is the new tree-level contribution coming from the $\lambda S H_u H_d$ coupling.

2.1 Higgs boson couplings

Denoting the mass-eigenstates s, h, H by $x = \bar{g}_x \hat{h} + \beta_x^{(H)} \hat{H} + \beta_x^{(s)} \hat{s}$ the couplings (normalized to the corresponding SM values) are given by

$$C_{b_x} = \bar{g}_x + \beta_x^{(H)} \tan \beta, \quad (9)$$

$$C_{t_x} = \bar{g}_x - \beta_x^{(H)} \cot \beta, \quad (10)$$

$$C_{V_x} = \bar{g}_x, \quad (11)$$

^aExplicit MSSM-like μ -term can also be present in the superpotential but it can always be set to zero by a constant shift of the real component of S .

^bThe formulae for the diagonal entries of $\hat{M}^2$ are not relevant for our present discussion and can be found in Ref.³.

where x is s , h or H . Note that the couplings to the vector bosons depend only on the $\hat{h}$ components. On the other hand, the couplings to fermions can be modified by mixing with $\hat{H}$.

In the region of moderate and large $\tan\beta$ even small component of $\hat{H}$ in the singlet-dominated Higgs may give a large contribution to the couplings to b quark due to $\tan\beta$ enhancement. On the other hand, the couplings to the up-type quarks are almost the same as those to the gauge bosons, $C_{t_x} \approx C_{V_x}$. Particularly interesting is the case when $\bar{g}_s\beta_s^{(H)} < 0$ because then $C_{b_s} \ll C_{t_s}, C_{V_s}$ is possible.

It can be shown that $C_{b_s} < C_{V_s}$ if $\hat{M}_{Hs}^2 \hat{M}_{hs}^2 < 0$ which leads to the following condition for the NMSSM parameters³:

$$\Lambda(\mu \tan\beta - \Lambda) \gtrsim 0, \quad (12)$$

which is satisfied only if $\mu\Lambda > 0$.

It is important to stress that strong suppression of the $s\bar{b}\bar{b}$ coupling does not introduce any new fine-tuning of parameters as long as $\tan\beta$ is large and λ is smaller than $\mathcal{O}(0.1)$. The scenario with large $\lambda \gtrsim 0.6$ and small $\tan\beta$ that lead to substantial tree-level contribution to m_h requires at least few-percent fine-tuning to avoid negative eigenvalues of the Higgs mass matrix³.

In the regime $C_{b_s} \ll C_{t_s}, C_{V_s}$, the (otherwise dominating) s branching ratios to $b\bar{b}$ and $\tau\bar{\tau}$ are strongly suppressed and s decays mainly to gg and $c\bar{c}$. This has many important implications which we discuss in following sections.

3 Correction to the Higgs mass from mixing

In NMSSM, in addition to the correction coming from the λ coupling, there exist also correction from mixing between scalars, Δ_{mix} , which we parametrize as follows:

$$m_h = \hat{M}_{hh} + \Delta_{\text{mix}}. \quad (13)$$

Mixing with $\hat{s}$ gives positive (negative) contribution to Δ_{mix} if the singlet-dominated scalar is lighter (heavier) than the SM-like Higgs, while the contribution to Δ_{mix} from mixing with $\hat{H}$ is negative and gets smaller in magnitude for larger values of m_H . Therefore, in order to calculate the maximal allowed value of Δ_{mix} it is enough to consider a case in which H is decoupled. In such a case Δ_{mix} is given by

$$\Delta_{\text{mix}} = m_h - \sqrt{m_h^2 - \bar{g}_s^2 (m_h^2 - m_s^2)} \approx \frac{\bar{g}_s^2}{2} \left(m_h - \frac{m_s^2}{m_h} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{g}_s^4), \quad (14)$$

where in the last, approximate equality we used the expansion in $\bar{g}_s^2 \ll 1$. It is clear from the above formula that a substantial correction to the Higgs mass from the mixing is possible only for not too small couplings of the singlet-like state to the Z boson and that $m_s \ll m_h$ is preferred. However, LEP has provided rather strong constraints on the states with masses below $\mathcal{O}(110)$ GeV that couple to the Z boson because such states could be copiously produced in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow sZ$.

For those LEP searches that rely on b and τ tagging⁶, constraints on $\bar{g}_s^2$ depend on the s branching ratios and the LEP experiments provide constraints on the quantity ξ^2 defined as:

$$\xi_{b\bar{b}}^2 \equiv \bar{g}_s^2 \times \frac{\text{BR}(s \rightarrow b\bar{b})}{\text{BR}^{\text{SM}}(h \rightarrow b\bar{b})}. \quad (15)$$

The LEP constraints on $\xi_{b\bar{b}}^2$ are reproduced by the red line in the left panel of Figure 1. Assuming $C_{b_s} \approx C_{t_s}, C_{V_s} \approx \bar{g}_s$, i.e. neglecting the mixing with $\hat{H}$, the branching ratios of s are the same as for the SM Higgs. Therefore, the limits on $\xi_{b\bar{b}}^2$ depicted by the red line in Figure 1 are, in fact, also the limits on $\bar{g}_s^2$. Using eq. (14) we can translate the constraints on $\bar{g}_s^2$ into limits for the maximal allowed correction from the mixing, $\Delta_{\text{mix}}^{\text{max}}$, as a function of m_s . These are presented in




Figure 1 – Left: The 95 % CL upper bounds on ξ_{bb}^2 (the red line) or ξ_{jj}^2 (the green line). The red line was obtained using the observed limits presented in the column (a) of Table 14 in Ref. ⁶, while the green line corresponds to Figure 2 of ⁷. Right: The LEP limits translated to the upper limits on Δ_{mix} using eq. 14 assuming $\xi_{bb}^2 = \bar{g}_s^2$ and $\xi_{jj}^2 = \bar{g}_s^2$ for the red and green line, respectively.

the right panel of Figure 1. Notice that in this case Δ_{mix} can reach about 6 GeV in a few-GeV interval for m_s around 95 GeV, where the LEP experiments observed the 2σ excess in the $b\bar{b}$ channel. However, for $m_s \lesssim 90$ GeV the allowed value of $\Delta_{\text{mix}}^{\text{max}}$ drops down very rapidly to very small values.

The situation changes if the $s\bar{b}b$ coupling is strongly suppressed because in such a case the constraints from the b -tagged LEP searches become meaningless. The main constraint on such a scenario is provided by the flavour independent search for a Higgs decaying into two jets at LEP ⁷. Those searches give constraints on a quantity ξ_{jj}^2 defined as:

$$\xi_{jj}^2 \equiv \bar{g}_s^2 \times \text{BR}(s \rightarrow jj), \quad (16)$$

which are reproduced by the green line in the left panel of Figure 1. Noting that for suppressed $s\bar{b}b$ and $s\tau\tau$ couplings, $\text{BR}(s \rightarrow jj) \approx 1$ so $\xi_{jj}^2 \approx \bar{g}_s^2$, it becomes clear that these constraints are substantially weaker and allow for values of $\bar{g}_s^2$ above 0.3 for m_s around 100 GeV and the limit rather slowly improves as m_s goes down, as seen from the left panel of Figure 1. In consequence, the constraints on $\Delta_{\text{mix}}^{\text{max}}$ are also weaker. As can be seen from the right panel of Figure 1, when $s \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ decays are suppressed Δ_{mix} above 5 GeV is viable for a large range of m_s with a maximum of about 8 GeV for m_s around 100 GeV.

3.1 Anti-correlation between the s and h couplings

It is very interesting to note that the $s\bar{b}b$ coupling is anti-correlated with the $h\bar{b}b$ coupling. The proof of this fact is given in Ref. ³. This implies that for suppressed $s\bar{b}b$ coupling, $R_{VV}^{(h)} < 1 - \bar{g}_s^2$ (where $V = W$ or Z , and $R_{VV}^{(h)}$ is the cross-section times branching ratio normalized to the SM value). In order to study quantitatively this feature of the scenario we performed a numerical scan over the NMSSM parameter space for various values of m_s and m_H while keeping fixed $m_h = 125$ GeV. Details of the scan can be found in Ref. ³ and the results are presented in Figures 2 and 3. In Figure 2 scatter plots of Δ_{mix} versus m_s are presented. The LEP constraints discussed before have been taken into account. It can be seen from the left panel that the biggest Δ_{mix} corresponds to small values of $R_{VV}^{(h)}$ (note that for large $\tan\beta$ $R_{\gamma\gamma}^{(h)} \approx R_{VV}^{(h)}$), in tension with the LHC Higgs data. If one demands consistency with the LHC Higgs data at 95% CL, $\Delta_{\text{mix}}^{\text{max}}$ is between about 5 and 7 GeV for m_s between $m_h/2$ and 105 GeV, as can be seen from the right panel of Figure 2.




Figure 2 – Results of the numerical scan presented in the $\Delta_{\text{mix}}-m_s$ plane after taking into account the LEP constraints. Left: The blue points are characterised by $R_{VV}^{(h)} < 0.5$ while for the red, green, black and yellow points $R_{VV}^{(h)}$ is larger than 0.5, 0.7, 0.8 and 1, respectively. The points with larger values of $R_{VV}^{(h)}$ are overlaid on the points with smaller $R_{VV}^{(h)}$. Right: Color denotes p -value based on the 125 GeV Higgs data. Green, yellow, blue, red points correspond to p -value above 0.32, between 0.32 and 0.05, between 0.05 and 0.01, below 0.01, respectively.




Figure 3 – The same as in Figure 2 but in the $R_{\gamma\gamma}^{(s)}-m_s$ plane.

4 Enhanced $s \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

In the scenario with strongly suppressed $s\bar{b}b$ and $s\tau\bar{\tau}$ couplings the total decay width of s is strongly reduced so all the s branching ratios, except those for the s decays to the down-type fermions, are strongly enhanced. Particularly interesting, from the viewpoint of prospects for discovery of s , is the $\gamma\gamma$ final state. Even though the production cross-section is suppressed by $\bar{g}_s^2$, $\text{BR}(h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ can be enhanced by more than a factor of ten resulting in the signal strength $R_{\gamma\gamma}^{(s)} > 1$.

It can be seen from Figure 3 that after taking into account the LEP constraints $R_{\gamma\gamma}^{(s)}$ can be up to about three. However, it is also seen from the Figure that very large $R_{\gamma\gamma}^{(s)}$ typically correspond to small $R_{VV}^{(h)}$ and is incompatible with the LHC data for the 125 GeV Higgs. Nevertheless, even after taking into account these constraints $R_{\gamma\gamma}^{(s)} > 1$ can be obtained for a wide range of m_s between about 60 and 110 GeV, and $R_{\gamma\gamma}^{(s)}$ can reach two for m_s around 70 GeV.

5 Conclusions

We investigated NMSSM with a light singlet-like scalar with suppressed couplings to b and τ . In this scenario the discovered Higgs boson is next to the lightest one and its mass can get positive correction of about 5-7 GeV from mixing with the singlet for a wide range of m_s between 60 and 110 GeV. Thus, stop masses can be substantially smaller than in the MSSM.

Characteristic signature of this scenario is enhanced $s \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ signal which can be up to three times larger than for the SM Higgs in agreement with all the LEP data. Even though direct Higgs searches in the diphoton channel have not been performed for masses below 110 GeV at the LHC so far, the LHC results already constrain this scenario because the 125 GeV Higgs production cross-section and branching ratios to gauge bosons are always smaller than in the SM. This is because mixing of $\hat{h}$ with the singlet reduces the Higgs production cross-section, while suppression of the sbb coupling always imply enhancement of the $hb\bar{b}$ coupling. We found that the NMSSM points predicting the largest enhancement of the $s \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ signal are ruled out by the 125 GeV Higgs measurements but the signal strength two times larger than for the SM Higgs is still possible. Therefore, we encourage the LHC collaborations to extend direct Higgs searches in the diphoton channel to masses between 60 and 110 GeV.

Since the enhancement of the $s \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ signal originates from strong suppression of the total decay width, similar enhancement of signal is present also in other s decay channels such as WW^* , ZZ^* and $Z\gamma$. For low scalar masses these channels are generally even more challenging from experimental point of view but for masses not much below 110 GeV the signal of s decays may be visible. Thus, the Higgs searches should be extended to lower masses also in these channels.

Suppression of the sbb coupling is naturally present in NMSSM with moderate and large $\tan\beta$ and small values of λ . Such suppression is also possible for small $\tan\beta$ and large λ but at the cost of additional fine-tuning of the NMSSM parameters.

Acknowledgments

This work is a part of the ‘‘Implications of the Higgs boson discovery on supersymmetric extensions of the Standard Model’’ project funded within the HOMING PLUS programme of the Foundation for Polish Science. MO and SP have been supported by National Science Centre under research grants DEC-2011/01/M/ST2/02466, DEC-2012/04/A/ST2/00099, DEC-2012/05/B/ST2/02597. MB has been partially supported by the MNiSW grant IP2012 030272.

References

1. G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **716** (2012) 1 [arXiv:1207.7214 [hep-ex]].
2. S. Chatrchyan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **716** (2012) 30 [arXiv:1207.7235 [hep-ex]].
3. M. Badziak, M. Olechowski and S. Pokorski, JHEP **1306** (2013) 043 [arXiv:1304.5437 [hep-ph]].
4. M. Badziak, M. Olechowski and S. Pokorski, PoS(EPS-HEP 2013)257 [arXiv:1310.4518 [hep-ph]].
5. U. Ellwanger, C. Hugonie and A. M. Teixeira, Phys. Rept. **496** (2010) 1 [arXiv:0910.1785 [hep-ph]].
6. S. Schael *et al.* [ALEPH and DELPHI and L3 and OPAL and LEP Working Group for Higgs Boson Searches Collaborations], Eur. Phys. J. C **47** (2006) 547 [hep-ex/0602042].
7. LEP Higgs Working Group for Higgs boson searches collaboration, hep-ex/0107034.